

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS

STATE OF IDAHO

\* \* \* \* \*

In re the Psychologist's	)	Case NO. BOL 83-202
License of David Hildebrandt,	)	
License No. PSY-97,	)	<u>FINAL DETERMINATION</u>
	)	
Respondent.	)	

\* \* \* \* \*

Because the facts relevant to this case were established by the pleadings, no evidentiary hearing was held. After briefs were filed with Jean R. Uranga, the appointed hearing officer, she made findings of fact, conclusions of law and a proposed order. Having read the entire record in this case and having heard statements made in extenuation and mitigation by the respondent and his counsel in open meeting, the Board adopts the proposed Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law prepared by the hearing officer, said findings and conclusions being included herein as if fully set forth.

IT IS HEREBY DETERMINED, by the unanimous decision of the Board of Psychologist Examiners as constituted for this case, that the respondent did violate his ethical duties with respect to a client and that said violation constitutes grounds for the imposition of penalties as provided by Idaho Code § 54-2309(e).

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

(1) The psychologist's license (No. 97) of the respondent David Hildebrandt, be suspended as of 1 April 1984.

Said suspension shall, after the passage of one year, be terminated provided that the terms and conditions of suspension as set forth have been met by the respondent to the satisfaction of the Board.


#### TERMS OF SUSPENSION

(1) Respondent shall enter into a course of therapy with a licensed psychologist or psychiatrist approved by the Board. No therapist will be approved who has had an employment, supervisory or educational relationship with the respondent. Said therapy shall consist of not less than thirty-six (36) sessions of at least fifty (50) minutes duration distributed throughout a nine (9) months period. After the therapy has concluded the therapist shall, if appropriate, provide to the Board, in writing, a statement to the effect that the substantive issues relevant to this case were fully addressed during therapy and that the therapist knows of no reason why respondent's license should not be restored.

(2) The respondent shall ~~not~~ hold himself out as a psychologist during the period of suspension. The respondent may act as an extender of the services of another practitioner provided that the person whose services are being extended has previously been approved by the Board. Respondent shall be under this person's direct supervision and control. Said service extension shall be conducted in accordance with Board rules on the supervision of unlicensed persons. Clients shall be

fully informed of the fact that the respondent is extending the services of the supervisor. Clients shall meet with the supervisor as well as respondent. The practitioner whose services are being extended shall share office space and conduct his or her practice on the same site. The supervisor shall inform the board, in writing, of the arrangements under which services are being provided and the manner in which these terms are being met.

DATED this 26 day of April, 1984.

  
CYNTHIA L. BROWNSMITH, PhD  
Member of Board of  
Psychologist Examiners